



Empirical Validation in Agent-Based Models

Giorgio Fagiolo

Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa (Italy)

`giorgio.fagiolo@sssup.it`

`https://mail.sssup.it/~fagiolo`

DIMETIC 2008

Maastricht, October 2008



dimetic

ABMs and Empirical Validation

- ABMs 20 years later...
 - Despite a great success...
 - ... any impact on economic science?
 - Did ABMs find a place in the standard economics toolbox?
 - Published ABM papers in top economics journals
 - Figures are maybe too pessimistic but overall impact not that big...
- Many obvious reasons why it was so...
 - New vs. established scientific paradigm (Kuhn, Lyotard)
 - ACE is hardly perceived as a robust, alternative paradigm
 - Why? Keywords: Heterogeneity and poor comparability
 - Assumptions and modeling design
 - Analysis of the properties of an ABM
 - **Empirical validation**

... an important remark ...

- Too much heterogeneity could be bad...
 - Difficult to compare alternative models of same phenomenon
 - Difficult to advance a new paradigm and contrast it with already existing ones
 - Having a (few) commonly accepted protocol(s) for empirical validation (and model building) would be in general better for the profession
- ... but many people think that
 - Also established paradigms are to some extent heterogeneous
 - Heterogeneity and flexibility of assumptions might be considered as *the* values added of ABMs
 - Heterogeneity is a prerequisite for the emergence of a “paradigm” (social process, scientific debate, etc.)

What do we mean by “Empirical Validation”

- Taking the model to the data
 - Data sources: Empirical, Experimental ... Casual and Anecdotic Knowledge
 - What part of the model is to be taken to the data?
 - Inputs: Checking validity of assumptions
 - Outputs: Checking validity of implications
- Here we shall focus on output validation
 - Input validation: Experimental Economics, Behavioral Economics, Neuroeconomics, etc.
 - ABMs philosophy should already embody input-validated modules
 - Meaning of Empirical Validation in what follows: “To what extent our ABM is able to statistically replicate the evidence that it wants to address?”

Background Literature

- Windrum, P., **Fagiolo, G.** and Moneta, A. (2007), "Empirical Validation of Agent Based Models: Alternatives and Prospects", *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 10, 2, available at: <http://jasss.soc.surrey.ac.uk/10/2/8.html> .
- **Fagiolo, G.**, Moneta, A. and Windrum, P. (2007), "A Critical Guide to Empirical Validation of Agent-Based Models in Economics: Methodologies, Procedures, and Open Problems", *Computational Economics*, 30:195-226.
- **Fagiolo, G.**, Birchenhall, C. and Windrum, P. (Eds.), Special Issue on "Empirical Validation in Agent-Based Models", *Computational Economics*, 2007, Volume 30, Issue 3.

Fagiolo, Moneta, Windrum (2007)

- Heterogeneity in ABM Empirical Validation Exercises
 - Is it really so?
 - Taxonomizing empirical validation approaches in ABM
- Is it a problem confined only to ABMs in economics?
 - What about neoclassical economics?
 - What happens in other fields (e.g. simulations in engineering)?
- Which are the features of ABMs that favor heterogeneity in empirical validation approaches?
 - Features specific to the development of ABMs in economics
 - More general methodological problems still under debate

Fagiolo, Moneta, Windrum (2007)

- Heterogeneity in ABM Empirical Validation Exercises
 - Is it really so?
 - Taxonomizing empirical validation approaches in ABM
- Is it a problem confined only to ABMs in economics?
 - What about neoclassical economics?
 - What happens in other fields (e.g. simulations in engineering)?
- Which are the features of ABMs that favor heterogeneity in empirical validation approaches?
 - Features specific to the development of ABMs in economics
 - More general methodological problems still under debate

Ex 1: Qualitative Simulation Modeling

- **No empirical validation**
 - Model as a laboratory to gain knowledge on the underlying causal relationships **only**, not taken to the data
- **Stylized Qualitative Models (Evolutionary-Games)**
 - Weak relation between micro-macro variables/parameters in the model and empirically observed counterparts
 - Interest in explaining the emergence of qualitative aggregate pattern (cooperation, coordination, etc.)
- **Early Evolutionary- and Industry-Dynamics Models**
 - Much more micro-founded and empirically-driven, but...
 - If any, empirical validation is done in very weak ways
- **A pessimistic view about empirical validation?**
 - Socio-economics: open-endedness, interdependence, structural change
 - Precise quantitative implications are difficult to obtain

Ex 2: Replication of Stylized-Facts

- Indirect Calibration

- Detailed data able to restrict the set of initial conditions and micro/macro parameters is difficult to gather (Kaldor)
- Empirical validation is done at the aggregate (macroeconomic) level
- Parameters and initial conditions are not restricted a priori
- Validation requires joint reproduction of a set of “stylized facts” (SFs)

- Four-Step Procedure (Dosi et al, 2006)

- **Step 1:** Identifying set of SFs of interest to be explained/reproduced
- **Step 2:** Keep microeconomics as close as possible to “real-world”
- **Step 3:** Find parameters and initial conditions for which the model is statistically able jointly to replicate the set of SFs
- **Step 4:** Investigation of subspace of parameters and initial conditions which “resist” to Step 3 in order to seek for causal relationships (explanations)

Ex 3: Empirical Calibration of ABMs

- **Werker and Brenner (2005)**
 - Dealing with space of initial conditions and micro/macro parameters
 - Difficult to employ theoretical arguments to restrict the set
 - Use empirical knowledge first to calibrate initial conditions and micro/macro parameters and then to validate

- **Three-Step Procedure**
 - **Step 1:** Employ empirical knowledge to calibrate initial conditions and parameters ranges
 - **Step 2:** Further restricting initial conditions and parameters space by empirically validate simulated output with real-world data
 - **Step 3:** Abduction. Seek explanations of the phenomena under study by exploring properties of the “possible worlds” that resist to previous steps

Ex 4: History-Friendly Industry Models

- **Malerba, Nelson, Orsenigo, and co-authors**
 - Models built upon detailed empirical, anecdotic, historical knowledge of phenomenon under study and employed to replicate its precise (qualitative) history

- **Prominent role for empirical data**
 - Detailed empirical (historical) data on the phenomenon under study assisting model building and validation
 - Specify agents' representation
 - Identify parameters and initial conditions
 - Empirically validate the model by comparing “simulated trace histories” with “actual history” of an industry

Where do they differ?

- Domain of application
 - Micro (industries, markets)
 - Macro (countries, world economy)
- Which kind of empirical observations does one employ?
 - Empirical data about micro/macro variables
 - Casual, historical and anecdotic knowledge
- How to employ empirical observations?
 - Assisting in model building (agents, behaviors, interactions,...)
 - Calibrating initial conditions and parameters
 - Validating simulated output
- What to do first?
 - First calibrate, then validate
 - First validate, then calibrate
 - Validate only

... A first assessment ...

- Empirical validation of ABMs in economics
 - Many alternative methodological approaches
 - They differ as to several crucial dimensions (scope, data)

- Is it a problem confined only to ABMs in economics?
 - A lot of competing approaches characterize also
 - Mainstream economics
 - Other fields employing simulations as tool of analysis
 - Heterogeneity in empirical validation approaches in economics
ABM may reflect underlying unsettled debate on philosophy of economics

Fagiolo, Moneta, Windrum (2007)

- Heterogeneity in ABM Empirical Validation Exercises
 - Is it really so?
 - Taxonomizing empirical validation approaches in ABM
- Is it a problem confined only to ABMs in economics?
 - What about neoclassical economics?
 - What happens in other fields (e.g. simulations in engineering)?
- Which are the features of ABMs that favor heterogeneity in empirical validation approaches?
 - **Features specific to the development of ABMs in economics**
 - More general methodological problems still under debate

Heterogeneity of ABMs' Structure

- **Object of Analysis**
 - Qualitative - Quantitative, Single - Multiple
 - Transients - Long-run, Micro - Macro
- **Goal of Analysis**
 - In-Sample, Descriptive (most often)
 - Out-of-Sample (forecasting)
 - Prediction/Control (policy implications)
- **Methodology of Analysis: Robustness of results to**
 - micro/macro parameters
 - initial conditions (ergodicity)
 - across-run variability

Heterogeneity of ABMs' Structure

- **Modelling Assumptions**

- **Size of the space of**

- Micro/macro parameters
 - Micro/macro variables
 - Decision rules

- **Treatment of time/updating**

- Discrete / Continuous, Parallel / Asynchronous

- **Type of decision rules**

- Adaptive (myopic) vs. optimizing (best-reply), Deterministic vs. Stochastic

- **Type of interaction structure**

- Local vs. Global, Deterministic vs. Stochastic

- **Dynamics of decision rules and interaction structures**

- Exogenously given/changing, Endogenously selected

Fagiolo, Moneta, Windrum (2007)

- Heterogeneity in ABM Empirical Validation Exercises
 - Is it really so?
 - Taxonomizing empirical validation approaches in ABM
- Is it a problem confined only to ABMs in economics?
 - What about neoclassical economics?
 - What happens in other fields (e.g. simulations in engineering)?
- Which are the features of ABMs that favor heterogeneity in empirical validation approaches?
 - Features specific to the development of ABMs in economics
 - **More general methodological problems still under debate**

Hot Issues in Empirical Validation of ABMs

- Treatment of initial conditions and parameters
 - How can we deal with all “possible worlds”? Calibration?
- Comparing ABMs’ outputs and real-world observations
 - Simulated Distributions vs. Unique Real-World Observations
- Unconditional-objects critique (Brock, 1999)
 - If many processes are able to explain the same set of SFs, what does replication of SFs add to our knowledge?
- Is available data sufficient?
 - Need for additional, more detailed microeconomics data
 - Need to validate microeconomic foundations with experimental data
- An empirical agenda
 - Searching for theory-free stylized facts
 - Looking for econometric techniques more appropriate for ABMs

Treatment of initial conditions and parameters

- Need for restricting the set of all “conceivable worlds”
 - ABMs (often) as an over-parameterized description of the “world”
 - Each point as a “conceivable” world
 - To which extent (and how) should one employ empirical data to select among all possible worlds?
- Direct vs. Indirect Calibration
 - Calibration of parameters and initial conditions on available data
 - Focusing on parameters and initial conditions that allow for replication of SFs of interest
- What can we learn from the remaining set?
 - Almost impossible to restrict to a unique world
 - Comparative dynamics exercises: Which interpretation?
 - Danger of counterfactuals in evolutionary worlds
 - “indeterminacy weakens the link between antecedent and consequent in the counterfactual” (Cowan and Foray, 2002, p. 552)

ABMs' outputs vs. real-world observations

- **Distributional objects vs. unique observations**
 - ABM provides DGP which we think real-world observations came from
 - ABM's output are distributional objects
 - Real-world observations are unique
 - “Independence” assumptions are required to transform unique empirical data in distributional objects (e.g. firm sizes or country growth-rates)
- **How can the two be compared?**
 - How can one know whether real-world observations are “typical” or “low-probability” events (with respect to the “true” DGP)?
 - ABMs: Suppose observed data are “typical” and compare them with statistics (average) of simulated data
 - Crucial to learn about the shape of the entire simulated distribution before comparing its typical outcomes with data (average may not be relevant)
 - Otherwise: Any single (low probability) simulated trace may be important to discover real-world underlying causal relationships

Unconditional Objects Critique

- ABM as a replicator of SFs
 - Given a set of SFs or statistical regularities there are many underlying alternative processes (DGPs) able to replicate it
 - SFs are “unconditional objects” (properties of stationary distributions) and cannot provide information on the dynamics of the process that generated them (Brock, 1999)
 - Replicating does not mean explaining
- How can we learn on the “true” generating process?
 - Brock (1999): Having a model that is able to reproduce a certain set of SFs is good because it always conveys information on the general forces at work and thus restricts the set of all possible generating mechanisms
 - Validating micro-economics of the model, not only macro-economic outputs (Gilbert, 2004; Duffy). A lot of detailed and reliable (empirical, experimental) data on microeconomic variables is required...
 - Looking for explanations as causal relations in simulated ABMs
output: New tools from econometrics (graphical models) may help...

An Empirical Agenda

- **Need for fresh stylized facts**
 - Many empirical regularities are theory-driven (ex: demand)
 - Theory here means “neoclassical theory”
 - Cross-section statistical properties? Dynamics?
 - Going “back to the data” and find fresh stylized facts
 - A phenomenological approach

- **Need for a new econometrics for ABMs**
 - ABMs are studied using econometric tools developed in very stationary worlds (e.g. regressions)
 - Normality vs. econometrics of heavy-tail distributions
 - Fagiolo, Napoletano and Roventini (2008)
 - How can we detect emergent properties?
 - How can we explore causal relations in ABMs?

Fagiolo, Moneta, Windrum (2007): Summary

- **Critical overview of empirical validation in ABMs**
 - When models are taken to the data, many competing approaches
- **Investigating possible reasons**
 - Methodological debate in social sciences and economics still open
 - Neoclassical models suffer from similar degree of heterogeneity
 - A lot of variety in other fields employing simulations as modeling tool
 - Heterogeneity in economics ABMs' structure
- **Crucial problems in empirical validation of ABMs**
 - Treatment of parameters and initial conditions
 - Comparing simulated distributions with unique real-world observations
 - Learning about generating mechanisms from replication of SFs
 - Need for additional data
 - An “empirical” agenda